

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,398		04/18/2001	Tami Jo Tadrowski	60037.1465US01	7676	
23552	7590	03/26/2003				
MERCHAN	1T & G(OULD PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO		55402-0903		OGDEN JR, N	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1751		
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/837,398	TADROWSKI ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Necholus Ogden	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the privisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	of(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	<u>larch 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-10 and 12-44 is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-10, 12-44</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the l	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· ·				
a) The translation of the foreign language provately Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been	received.			
Attachment(s)	5 phone, and of 0.0.0. 33	ray diid/Vi 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,398

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3-10-03 has been entered.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 3-10-03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "Desirably, the sprayable product has a Brookfield viscosity ranging from about 30 to about 300 cps, more desirably from about 30 to about 50 to about 70 cps".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The aforementioned claim states that said viscosity has an upper limit of 300 Cps. This limit is considered new matter because it appears that applicant did not have possession of said upper limit in the originally filed application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmed (5,981,457).
- 2. Ahmed discloses a liquid gel warewash detergent composition comprising 5 to about 30% by weight of an alkali metal detergent builder salt such as sodium tripolyphosphate; 8 to about 50% by weight of a source of alkalinity; 0.1 to 2% by weight of a polymeric thickener; 01 to 2% by weight of a fatty acid bonding agent; and the balance water (col. 2, lines 34-63). Ahmed further teaches that said detergent component might comprise anionic surfactants in an amount from 0 to 5% by weight (col. 3, lines 51-65). Note, see table 5.

Ahmed is silent with respect to the pH of the composition. However, Ahmed teach all of the instantly required components in their requisite proportions, wherein one

Application/Control Number: 09/837,398

Art Unit: 1751

of ordinary skill in the art would expect the composition to comprise a pH of 10 to 14 because of the amount of alkalinity and because the composition are for caustic applications.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that said compositions of Ahmed are not sprayable.

The examiner respectfully disagrees and contends that applicant has not provided any evidence to support this allegation. The compositions of Ahmed require the same components for the same use and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use said composition for spraying in the absence of a showing to the contrary. The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965); In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("An assertion of what seems to follow from common experience is just attorney argument and not the kind of factual evidence that is required to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness."). See MPEP § 716.01(c) for examples of attorney statements which are not evidence and which must be supported by an appropriate affidavit or declaration.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

no March 23, 2003